# **Biological motivation**

Low grade gliomas (LGGs) - slowly growing, incurable brain tumours. They occur in young and otherwise healthy patients; life-prolonging treatment should not come at the cost of compromising the quality of life. Management decisions, whether and when should a patient receive resection, radio- or chemotherapy, are not fully standardized. Due to long patients' survival, clinical trials on LGGs require about ten years to test a single hypothesis.

### **Chemotherapy for LGGs**

**Temozolomide (TMZ)** - a drug of choice for clinicians, effective for both previously irradiated and unrradiated LGGs. TMZ-induced damage provoke mitotic catastrophe causing cell death long after the end of therapy as observed in clinics [1,2]. Proper timing and fractionation of TMZ treatment - unknown.

### Questions

How can we model chemotherapy for LGGs? Could we estimate their aggressiveness and response to standard therapies causing minimal cytotoxicity?

<sup>7</sup> Tumour volume evolution for patients treated with TMZ (treatment duration marked with vertical dashed lines). We fit  $\rho$  using volumes before chemotherapy onset, based on following data we estimate  $\alpha$  and k. Method: weighted least squares. (left) Patient treated with 4 TMZ cycles,  $\rho = 0.002416$ /day, k = 0.2722,  $\alpha = 1.387798$  ml/µg/day. (right) Patient treated with 5 TMZ cycles,  $\rho = 0.001761/day, k = 0.555867, \alpha = 0.971918 ml/\mu g/day.$ 

# Mathematical model suggests a way to assess LGG malignancy

M.U. Bogdańska, M. Bodnar, J. Belmonte-Beitia, M. Murek, P. Schucht, J. Beck, V. M. Pérez-García

## **Future research**

- verify hypothesis on larger data set
- propose suitable "probing procedure"
- optimize treatment schedules giving the longest PFS possible while maintaining the toxicity in acceptable levels
- include more biological details (acquiring chemoresistance, stem cells)

### References

- [1] M. Chamberlain, *Temozolomide for recurrent low-grade spinal cord gliomas in adults*, Cancer 113 (5) (2008) 1019-24
- [2] D. Ricard, G. Kaloshi, A. Amiel-Benouaich, J. Lejeune, Y. Marie, E. Mandonnet, M. Kujas, K. Mokhtari, S. Taillibert, F. Laigle-Donadey, A. Carpentier, A. Omuro, L. Capelle, H. Duau, P. Cornu, R. Guillevin, M. Sanson, K. Hoang-Xuan, J. Delattre, Dynamic history of low-grade gliomas before and after temozolomide treatment, Annals of Neurology 61 (5) (2007) 484-90
- [3] J. Portnow, B. Badie, M. Chen, A. Liu, S. Blanchard, T. Synold, *The neuropharmacokinetics* of temozolomide in patients with resectable brain tumors: potential implications for the current approach to chemoradiation, Clinical Cancer Research 15 (22) (2009) 7092-8
- [4] C. Gerin, J. Pallud, B. Grammaticos, E. Mandonnet, C. Deroulers, P. Varlet, L. Capelle, L. Taillandier, L. Bauchet, H. Duau, M. Badoual, *Improving the time-machine: estimating date of birth of grade II* gliomas, Cell Proliferation 45 (1) (2012) 76-90
- [5] M.Bogdańska, M.Bodnar, J.Belmonte-Beitia, M.Murek, P.Schucht, J.Beck, V.M. Perez-Garcia, Mathematical model suggests a way to assess low grade glioma malignancy, Proceedings of the XX National Conference Applications of Mathematics in Biology and Medicine, Łóchów (2014) 21-28 The design of this poster is based on work of Felix Breuer.



#### **Tumour response** dependence on main prameters

burden (critical size causing death).

# Model fitting to patients' data



$$z_{0}e^{\frac{\tilde{k}-\mu-z_{0}}{\mu}}-\tilde{k}+\mu+\left(\tilde{k}-\mu-z_{0}\right)e^{\left(\tilde{k}-\mu\right)\rho-\frac{z_{0}}{\mu}}\right\}$$

$$\frac{1-e^{\left(-pw_{0}+\tilde{k}\rho T\right)\frac{n}{p}}\left(1-e^{\left(-w_{0}+\tilde{k}\rho r\right)p}\right)}{pw_{0}+\tilde{k}\rho T\right)\left(1-e^{-w_{0}+\tilde{k}\rho r}\right)\left(\tilde{k}-\mu-z_{0}\right)\left(\tilde{k}-\mu\right)}\right\}$$

 $t_{\rm PFS} = \frac{nw_0}{\tilde{k}\rho} + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}\rho} \ln\left\{\frac{z_0 p}{\mu k} \left(1 - e^{-pw_0 + \tilde{k}\rho T}\right) \left(1 - e^{-nw_0 + \tilde{k}\rho T \frac{n}{p}}\right)\right\}$ 



II

Relative difference between progression-free survival calculated from simulations and estimated formulas.

 $\rho_1 > \rho_2$ 

P(t) - functionally alive glioma cells D(t) - cells irreversibly damaged by chemotherapy C(t) - drug concentration in brain Chemotherapy consists of a sequence of doses  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$  given at times  $t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n$ .  $P(t_1) = P_1, \quad D(t_1) = 0$ 

 $\mathrm{d}D$ 

 $P(t_j) = P(t_j^-), \quad D(t_j) = D(t_j^-),$  $C(t_j) = C(t_j^-) + C_j$  for j = 1, ..., n

#### Assumptions

As therapy cause a tumour mass reduction,  $\begin{pmatrix} \bot \end{pmatrix}$  we can assume that the total tumour mass at the time around PFS is substantially smaller than the carrying capacity

Each dose is cleared in one day [3]  $z(s) \approx \int z_0 e^{-\mu (s-s_j)} \quad s \in (s_j, s_j + \rho) \quad (s) \quad e^{-\mu t} - 1 \approx \begin{cases} -\mu t & 0 \le t < \frac{1}{\mu} \\ -1 & t \ge \frac{1}{\mu} \end{cases}$ 

Denoting 
$$w(s) = \int_0^s z(t) dt$$
,  $w_0 = w(\rho) = \frac{z_0}{\mu} \left( 1 x(s) = x_0 e^s - nw_0, \quad y(s) = \int_0^s e^{-\frac{s-s}{k}} dt \right)$ 

 $ke^{(1+1/k)s_{\text{PFS}} - nw_0} = \int_0^{s_{\text{PFS}}} e^{(1+1/k)t - w(t)} z(t) dt$ 

$$s_{\rm PFS} = \frac{1}{\tilde{k}} \left[ nw_0 + \ln\left(\frac{1}{k} \int_0^{s_{\rm PFS}} e^{\tilde{k}t} - u\right) \right]$$

$$_{0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{e}^{-(j-1)w_{0}+\tilde{k}s_{j}}\right)\int_{0}^{\rho}$$



LGGs' net cell division is given by logistic term with parameter  $\rho_{\cdot}$ 

TMZ administration is treated as a discontinuous change in its concentration. Then it decays exponentially owing to drug

> The number of cells damaged by TMZ in a time unit is proportional to concentration of drug and number of proliferating tumour cells with rate  $\alpha$ .

> > Glioma cells irreversibly damaged by TMZ try to enter mitosis with the same probability as those active and die after such k attempts, resulting in "negative" proliferation with coefficient  $-\rho/k$ .

Parameters' values carrying capacity K - from max.
gliomas' diameter (~10 cm) [2] rate of TMZ decay $\lambda$ - from TMZ
S half-life clearance [3]
<b>proliferation rate</b> $\rho$ - usually $(1-5) \cdot 10^{-3}$ /day (its inverse = typical cell doubling time) [4]
ears) 6 ears) 6 ears) 6 ears) 6 ears) 6 ears) 6 ears) 6 ears) 7 6 ears) 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
doses
cycles of 28 days: • 5 days of dosing • 23 days of break
dose: 150mg/day/m <sup>2</sup> of

# **Clinical implications**

patient body surface

Short progression-free survival correlates with a poorer outcome.

dea: use chemotherapy to probe tumour, iding estimates of tumour-specic parameters

Tumour which attains its minimal volume soon after short course of TMZ treatment (has shorter PFS) may be more aggressive  $\implies$  TMZ treatment has to be finished soon, other therapeutical options should be considered.